

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,312	07/10/2003	Pijush K. Dewanjee	PU2162	1311
23454	7590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY 2180 RUTHERFORD ROAD CARLSBAD, CA 92008-7328			PASSANITI, SEBASTIANO	EBASTIANO
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3711	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N
---

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
065 - 4 - 4 0	10/604,312	DEWANJEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sebastiano Passaniti	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on see d	etailed Office action.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attacherantes						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/10/03</u> . 6) Uther:						

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is responsive to communication received 07/10/2003 – application papers filed.

This application is a CIP of 09/693,349, filed 10/20/2000, now U.S. Patent No. 6,632,391, which is a DIVISONAL of 09/389,798, filed 09/03/1999, now U.S. Patent No. 6,238,302.

Claims 1-19 are pending.

Following is an action on the MERITS:

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 10 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rowland in view of Hettinger and Gojny. At the outset, it is noted that the filing date of the Rowland reference predates applicant's earliest priority date. Thus, the reference is available as prior art under §102(e) and therefore may be used

Art Unit: 3711

under §103(a). The patent to Rowland shows every feature claimed with the exception of a composite polyurethane material for the striking face insert. Hettinger and Gojny show it to be old in the art to provide a composite insert for the striking face portion of a club head in order to provide additional energy to a struck ball. See col. 4, lines 45-55 in Hettinger. See col. 1, lines 35-46 and col. 2, lines 35-45 in Gojny. In view of the patents to Hettinger and Gojny, it would have been obvious to modify the device in the cited art reference to Rowland by replacing the insert with a material that is composed of thermoplastic polyurethane, the motivation being to alter the ball striking characteristics of the face. Moreover, it is noted that Rowland suggests that other materials may be used as part of the striking face in order to change the impact force as well as the corresponding trajectory and the amount of ball spin (col. 5, lines 14-20). As for the remaining claimed limitations and specific to claims 2-4, note Figure 16 showing a plurality of tabs. An adhesive may be used to affix the insert within the recess in the striking face (col. 5, lines 21-33). As to claim 6, the claimed thermoplastic polyurethane materials are merely examples of thermoplastic materials that are commonly available. Clearly, the applicant has not invented the claimed materials. The skilled artisan, being familiar with the characteristics of known materials, would have found it obvious to select a suitable thermoplastic based upon the specific ball response desired. As to claim 9, the insert in Rowland includes a trapezoidal shape. As to claim 10, see Figure 9 in Rowland showing the depth of the recess in the front of the striking face. As to claim 12, note again the language in col. 5, lines 20-33 in Rowland. As to claim 13, Figure 16 clearly shows a plurality of straight and curved portions. As to claims 14-17,

Art Unit: 3711

the claimed limitations are not deemed critical. As to claims 18 and 19, note the comments for claims 1, 2 and 6, supra.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rowland in view of Hettinger, Gojny, Kim and Saeki. Rowland in view of Hettinger and Gojny has been discussed above. Rowland, as modified, lacks a coating on the face. Saeki and Kim both show it to be old in the art to provide the face of a club head with a coating. In the case of Saeki, the coating serves to help prevent rust. In Kim, the coating helps to alter the ball flying characteristics of a struck ball. In view of the patents to Saeki and Kim, it would have been obvious to modify the device in the cited art reference to Rowland by providing a coating to the insert, the motivation being to help protect the insert from the natural elements or to simply change the ball striking characteristics of the insert.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 3711

6,238,302 in view of Hettinger and Gojny. The claimed invention of the '302 Patent differs from the instant claimed invention in that the clamed invention of the '302 Patent does not require a thermoplastic polyurethane material. Instead, the claimed invention of the '302 Patent requires, in some instances, the use of a thermoset polymer material. Hettinger and Gojny show it to be old in the art to provide a composite insert for the striking face portion of a club head in order to provide additional energy to a struck ball. See col. 4, lines 45-55 in Hettinger. See col. 1, lines 35-46 and col. 2, lines 35-45 in Gojny. In view of the patents to Hettinger and Gojny, it would have been obvious to modify the claimed device in the '302 Patent by replacing the insert with a material that is composed of thermoplastic polyurethane, the motivation being to alter the ball striking characteristics of the face. With respect to the remaining claimed limitations, note the following:

As to instant claim 1, see claim 1 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 2, see claim 1 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 3, see claim 3 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 4, see claim 4 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 5, see claim 1 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 6, the claimed thermoplastic polyurethane materials are merely examples of thermoplastic materials that are commonly available. Clearly, the applicant has not invented the claimed materials. The skilled artisan, being familiar with the characteristics of known materials, would have found it obvious to select a suitable thermoplastic based upon the specific ball response desired.

Art Unit: 3711

As to instant claim 7, see claim 6 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 8, see claims 1 and 14 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 9, see claim 7 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 10, see claim 15 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 11, see claim 15 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 12, see claim 16 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 13, see claim 1 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 14, see claim 10 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 15, see claim 11 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 16, see claim 12 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 17, see claim 13 of the '302 Patent.

As to instant claim 18, see claim 15 of the '302 Patent along with an explanation of the modification of the '302 claimed invention in view of Hettinger and Gojny, as outlined supra.

As to instant claim 19, the claimed thermoplastic polyurethane materials are merely examples of thermoplastic materials that are commonly available. Clearly, the applicant has not invented the claimed materials. The skilled artisan, being familiar with the characteristics of known materials, would have found it obvious to select a suitable thermoplastic based upon the specific ball response desired.

Enclosed with this Office action is a sample terminal disclaimer, which is effective to overcome an obviousness-type double patenting rejection over a prior patent (37 CFR 1.1321(b) and (c)).

Art Unit: 3711

Also enclosed is a sample Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b) (Form PTO/SB/96) which an assignee may use in order to ensure compliance with the rule. Part A of the Statement is used when there is a single assignment from the inventor(s). Part B of the Statement is used when there is a chain of title. The "Copies of assignments..." box should be checked when the assignment document(s) (set forth in part A or part B) is/are not recorded in the Office, and a copy of the assignment document(s) is/are attached. When the "Copies of assignments..." box is checked, either the part A box or the part B box, as appropriate, must be checked, and the "Reel\_\_\_\_\_\_, Frame\_\_\_\_\_\_" entries should be left blank. If the part B box is checked, and copies of assignments are not included, the "From:\_\_\_\_\_\_ To:\_\_\_\_\_\_ " blank(s) must be filled in. This statement should be used the first time an assignee seeks to take action in an application under 37 CFR 3.73(b), e.g., when signing a terminal disclaimer or a power of attorney.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Su shows an insert assembly, of interest. Fisher ('132) shows materials for the striking face, of interest.

In addition to those references cited herein above, all references cited during the prosecution of applicant's parent application serial nos. 09/389,798 and 09/693,349 are deemed pertinent to this instant application and are hereby incorporated by reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sebastiano Passaniti whose telephone number is 703-308-1006. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (6:30-3:00).

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sebastiano Passaniti Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

S.Passaniti/sp September 14, 2004

## CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b)

Applicant:			
Application No.:	Filed:		
For	g		
	, a		
(Name of Assignee)	(Type of Assignos, e.g.,	corporation, purtnesship, university, governmen	nt agency, esc.)
certifies that it is the assignee of the ent	tire right, title and interest in the pate	ent application identified above by	virtue of either:
A. [ ] An assignment from the inventor  Patent and Trademark Office a	or(s) of the patent application identified Reel, Frame	fied above. The assignment was re or for which a copy thereof is a	corded in the ittached.
OR .			
B. [ ] A chain of title from the invento	or(s), of the patent application identi	ified above, to the current assignee	as shown below:
	To:		
	ed in the Patent and Trademark Offic		
Keel, Frame	or for which a copy there	OI IS attached.	
	To:		
	ed in the Patent and Trademark Offic		•
Reel, Flanke	, or for which a copy there	AT IS attached.	
3. From:	To:		
	ed in the Patent and Trademark Offi , or for which a copy there		
[ ] Additional documents in	the chain of title are listed on a supp	plemental sheet.	
[ ] Copies of assignments or other doc	cuments in the chain of title are attac	ched.	
The undersigned has reviewed all the of undersigned's knowledge and belief	locuments in the chain of title of the title is in the assignee identified at	patent application identified above	e and, to the best
The undersigned (whose title is supplied	ed below) is empowered to act on be	chalf of the assignce.	
I hereby declare that all statements ma and belief are believed to be true; and and the like so made, are punishable b and that such willful false statements to	further, that these statements are may fine or imprisonment, or both, und	ade with the knowledge that willful der Section 1001, Title 18 of the Ui	l false statements, nited States Code,
Date :			
Name :			
rame	·		•
Title :			
Signature:			

PTO/SB/28 (10-00)
Approved for use 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademerk Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to	e collection of miormation unless a c	Docket Number (Optional)
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO OBVIATE A DOUBL	E PATENTING	
REJECTION OVER A PRIOR PATE	NI	L
In re Application of:		
Application No.:		
Filed:		
For:		
	by any terminal disclaimatent so granted on the inprior patent are commonly and is binding upon the grant disclaim the terminal part ate of the full statutory terminal by any terminal disclaimed by any terminal disclaimed unenforceable, is fou terminally disclaimed undued, or is in any manner any terminal disclaimer.  In partnership, university, government of the are punishable by fine	or patent granted on the patent yearn defined in 35 er, of prior Patent No. I stant application shall be worked. This agreement antee, its successors or of any patent granted on a seffined in 35 U.S.C. aimer, in the event that it and invalid by a court of er 37 CFR 1.321, has allow terminated prior to the ment agency, etc.), the
	Signature	Date
	Typed or pri	nted name
1	•••	
Terminal disclaimer fee under 37 CFR 1.20(d) Included.		
WARNING: Information on this form may become be included on this form. Provide credit card info	INSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	
*Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is required if terminal disclain Form PTO/SB/96 may be used for making this certification. See	ner is signed by the assignee (OM	mer).

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademerk Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.